

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,319	01/11/2002	Fumio Sugaya	Q66579	4442
7590 07/13/2005			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			CROSS, LATOYA I	
2100 Pennsylva Washington, D	nia Avenue, N.W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
washington, D	C 20037-3202		1743	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-1
Office Action Summary		10/042,319	SUGAYA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		LaToya I. Cross	1743	
- Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE N - Extensefter S - If the if - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a a by within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON b, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1 .
Status		·		
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal mat		S
Disposition	on of Claims		•	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application	on Papers			
10) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyant tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(넘).
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)□ / a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureatee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A city documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment	i(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A\ ☐ Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(summary (P10-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Applicants' amendments filed on April 25, 2005. Claims 1-6 are pending. Claims 3 and 4 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to non-elected subject matter. Claims 5 and 6 have been newly added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

1. Claims 1, 2, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted prior art in view of US patent 6,838,051 to Marquiss et al.

Applicants' admit in their Jepson claim that incubators comprising with a plurality of element chambers which are arranged along the outer periphery of the incubator rotor and each of which accommodates a dry analysis element spotted with a sample and incubates the dry analysis element and a light measuring means having a light measuring head which measures the optical density of the dry analysis element are known.

With respect to Applicants' claimed improvement, Applicants recite the light measuring means having a correction means that compensates for fluctuations in the optical density.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,319

Art Unit: 1743

Marquiss et al teach a sample processing system comprising a light monitor (5122). Marquiss et al teach that the light monitor used to correct for fluctuations in the intensity of light provided by the light sources. Such corrections may be performed by reporting detected intensities as a ratio over corresponding times of the luminescence intensity measured by the detector to the excitation light intensity measured by the light monitor. The light monitor also can be programmed to alert the user if the light source fails. Thus, the light monitor is in communication with the light source, as recited in claim 6. See col. 44, lines 40-48.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the incubators known in the art with a light monitor to provide a manner for assuring accurate results by correcting for light intensities.

With respect to claim 2, the manner in which the correction means operates within the device is not sufficiently limiting to make the claims patentable since the limitation is directed to the manner in which the device operates. See MPEP 2114.

With respect to claims 5, Marquiss et al teach a transport module (2100) as a part of the system for transporting slides from I/O areas to various other functions in the system. As a part of the transport module, the reference teaches a bar code reader (col. 17) which may serve as a position detector to determine the position of the test slide, as claimed by Applicants.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed April 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to the Marquiss et al reference, Applicants argue that Marquiss et al fail to teach that the light monitor monitors fluctuations in the optical density of the analysis element. The Examiner does not agree that this argument overcomes the obviousness rejection over Marquiss et al. First it should be noted that the claim language, "correction means which compensates for fluctuation" does not conform with the requirements of 112, 6th paragraph such that "means plus function" is invoked. Thus, in the instant claims "mean plus function" is not invoked. The Examiner has taken "correction means" to be a structural limitation, not a functional one. Marquiss et al do teach a correction means by way of the light monitor. Thus, given the broadest reasonably interpretation to the claims, the limitations are met.

Even if, however, the claims were interpretated as "means plus function" claims, the Examiner believes the claims to be obvious over Marquiss et al. The Examiner finds no significant (or patentable) difference in a correction of fluctuations from the analysis element versus a correction in fluctuations from the light source. It should be noted that even the fluctuations from the analysis element are due to the light (optical density). Thus, since Marquiss et al teach correcting for fluctuation in the light source, then fluctuations in the analysis element, that are caused by light would be obvious.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaToya I. Cross whose telephone number is 571-272-1256. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,319

Art Unit: 1743

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lic

YELENA GAKH PRIMARY EXAMINER